

# Respiratory Research Review™

Making Education Easy

Issue 144 – 2018

## In this issue:

- *Low-dose CT screening and smoking cessation in high-risk participants*
- *Low-dose CT exposure and cancer risk*
- *Incidence and relative risk of cancer in COPD*
- *Pulmonary function following major lung resection for NSCLC*
- *Evolution of NSCLC*
- *Osimertinib for untreated, EGFR-mutated, advanced NSCLC*
- *Edoxaban for cancer-associated VTE*
- *Pleural fluid drainage frequency in malignant pleural effusions*
- *Nonmalignant pleural effusions*

### Abbreviations used in this issue

**COPD** = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease  
**CT/PET-CT** = (positron emission tomography) computed tomography  
**FEV** = forced expiratory volume  
**FVC** = forced vital capacity  
**HR** = hazard ratio  
**LMWH** = low-molecular-weight heparin  
**NSCLC** = non-small-cell lung cancer  
**OR** = odds ratio  
**QOL** = quality of life  
**TKI** = tyrosine kinase inhibitor  
**VEGFR/EGFR** = (vascular) epidermal growth factor receptor  
**VTE** = venous thromboembolism

## Welcome to issue 144 of Respiratory Research Review.

Sometimes I wonder if the world really is changing faster, or if the world is changing at a steady pace and it only seems faster as I am getting older. As we are starting a new year, I would be interested to hear some of the readers' opinions. We are starting 2018 with a selection of research published on lung cancer, and I am grateful for my colleagues who publish a more detailed review several times a year. This selection of nine articles in manageable chunks to review, provides an overview for people in busy clinical jobs and reflects articles that raised my interest.

Readers who wish to reflect on lung cancer, almost starting afresh, are encouraged to read either of the following excellent reviews. 'The shifting landscape for lung cancer: past, present, and future' [published](#) as part of the 100-year anniversary of the 'blue' Am J Respir Crit Care Med. The authors remind us that lung cancer was extremely rare; in an autopsy series at the University of Dresden (Germany) in 1878, lung cancer was found in only 1% of all cancer patients – today it accounts for about 27% of all cancers, causing 1.6 million deaths each year. The invention of the cigarette-making machine started an epidemic of cancer, which has only just started to subside in this century in Western countries. The authors give an authoritative review of ongoing and future aspects of prevention, diagnosis and treatment. The other review is written by colleagues in Grosshansdorf (Germany) and [published](#) in N Engl J Med on 'Precision diagnosis and treatment for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer'. This article is also extremely well written and easy to read. If one has – purely theoretically – somewhat got left behind in the changing paradigm of diagnosis and treatment, these 10 pages (including pictures) will bring one up to speed.

All of us will consult with patients who have an incidentally detected lung nodule discovered on CT scanning. This often causes anxiety in the patient and doctor, leading to a flurry of further CT scans. Now that the results of further screening trials like the NELSON and PamCan are available, the Fleischner Society has [published](#) a revised guideline on how to manage these incidentally discovered nodules with an explicit focus on reducing the number of unnecessary follow-up examinations. Some of the key changes are that nodules <6mm in size in a low-risk setting don't need any routine follow-up. This is actually another relatively easy 10-page read.

"Which organ systems are most likely affected by immune-related adverse events in the setting of immune checkpoint blockade?" This is one of the ten clinical questions the authors of the N Engl J Med [review](#) use to structure their review; the answer to this question is that the gastrointestinal tract, endocrine glands, skin and liver are most often affected. As pembrolizumab is becoming available to treat NSCLC (non-small-cell lung cancer) and immune checkpoint blockade therapy is starting to revolutionise cancer care, this is a rather timely review. Personally, I enjoyed the discussion on whether patients with immune-related adverse events, in particular vitiligo, have a better cancer response rate.

Finally, for my physician colleagues in respiratory medicine, just a reminder that we have 2 years to get organised to fulfil the very sensible criteria outlined in the 'Thoracic ultrasound recognition of competence: a position paper of the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand'. It is a thoughtful [document](#) giving guidance and addressing the clinical reality in Australia and NZ – the key recommendations are: i) attendance of an approved ultrasound course; ii) maintaining a log book of at least 40 scans; iii) taking a formative assessment; and (finally) iv) passing a barrier assessment.

With best wishes again for 2018 – may we continue to work together to improve outcomes for our patients.

Kind regards

**Professor Lutz Beckert**

[lutzbeckert@researchreview.co.nz](mailto:lutzbeckert@researchreview.co.nz)

[CLICK HERE](#) to read previous issues of Respiratory Research Review

**BREO<sup>®</sup> ELLIPTA<sup>®</sup>**  
fluticasone furoate/vilanterol

Just one inhalation,  
once daily<sup>1</sup>

Once-daily  
ICS/LABA  
Asthma  
treatment  
is here:  
Breo Ellipta<sup>1</sup>

[health.gsk.nz](http://health.gsk.nz)

**Breo<sup>®</sup> Ellipta<sup>®</sup>** (fluticasone furoate 100mcg/vilanterol trifenatate 25mcg) is a prescription medicine for the regular treatment of asthma in adults and adolescents aged 12 years and older and for the regular treatment of COPD. Before prescribing please read the Data Sheet available from [medsafe.govt.nz](http://medsafe.govt.nz) for contraindications, precautions and adverse events information. **Breo Ellipta** is not recommended for relief of acute symptoms or an acute exacerbation. **Adverse events involving GlaxoSmithKline products should be reported to GSK Medical Information on 0800 808 500.** **Breo Ellipta** was developed in collaboration with Innoviva Inc. GlaxoSmithKline NZ Limited, Auckland. 1. Breo Ellipta Data Sheet, GSK New Zealand. 2. Pharmaceutical Schedule April 2016, PHARMAC. ICS = Inhaled corticosteroid, LABA = Long-acting beta<sub>2</sub>-agonist. TAPS DA1728IG/17MA/FFT/0024/17



INNOVIVA

For more information, please go to [www.medsafe.govt.nz](http://www.medsafe.govt.nz)

[www.researchreview.co.nz](http://www.researchreview.co.nz)

a RESEARCH REVIEW™ publication

## Impact of low-dose CT screening on smoking cessation among high-risk participants in the UK Lung Cancer Screening Trial

**Authors:** Brain K et al.

**Summary:** This analysis of data from 4055 participants aged 50–75 years from the UKLS (UK Lung Cancer Screening) Pilot Trial sought to determine the effect of low-dose CT screening on smoking cessation among smokers at high risk of developing lung cancer. The participants completed baseline questionnaires and were randomised to CT screening or no screening. According to self-reported smoking habit, 759 intervention participants and 787 controls were smokers at baseline. Smokers were asked whether they had quit smoking since joining UKLS at T1 (2 weeks after baseline scan results or control assignment) and T2 (up to 2 years after recruitment). At T1, smoking cessation rates were 8% among controls versus 14% among those in the intervention arm; the corresponding proportions at T2 were 21% and 24%, respectively. Participants who underwent screening were more likely than controls to quit smoking at T1 (adjusted OR 2.38 [95% CI 1.56, 3.64]) and T2 (1.60 [1.17, 2.18]). Intervention participants who needed additional clinical investigation were more likely to quit in the longer term compared with controls (adjusted OR 2.29 [95% CI 1.62, 3.22]) and those who received a negative result (2.43 [1.54, 3.84]).

**Comment:** Smoking is estimated to cause 86% of lung cancers. Health systems around the world are grappling with the cost effectiveness of lung cancer screening. The question has been raised whether patients see a negative result as a 'licence to smoke'. Based on data from the UK lung cancer screening pilot trial, the authors were able to explore this in 4055 participants. The good news is participation in the trial led to a 21% cessation rate in the control group and a 24% in the screened group. **Bottom line: participation in lung cancer screening is a powerful stimulus to cease smoking.**

**Reference:** *Thorax* 2017;72(10):912–8

[Abstract](#)

## Exposure to low dose computed tomography for lung cancer screening and risk of cancer

**Authors:** Rampinelli C et al.

**Summary:** This was a secondary analysis of data from the COSMOS trial, in which high-risk asymptomatic current or former smokers ( $\geq 20$  pack-years) aged  $\geq 50$  years with no history of cancer in the prior 5 years underwent low-dose CT lung cancer screening; a risk-benefit analysis was performed. There were 42,228 low-dose CT and 635 PET CT scans performed in 5203 participants over the trial's 10-year period. The median effective radiation doses accumulated by the tenth year of screening were 9.3 and 13.0 mSv for men and women, respectively. The respective lifetime attributable risks of lung cancer and major cancers after 10 years of CT screening were 1.4–5.5 and 2.6–8.1 per 10,000 screened individuals, with variations by age and gender – women aged 50–54 years had ~3- to 4-fold higher risks than men aged  $\geq 65$  years. The respective numbers of screening-induced lung and major cancer cases over the 10 years were 1.5 and 2.4, corresponding to an additional 0.05% risk of induced major cancers. There were 259 lung cancers diagnosed during the 10 years of screening; one radiation-induced major cancer would be expected for every 108 lung cancers detected by screening.

**Comment:** Tobacco smoking is the most common cause of lung cancer; other causes include inhalation of carcinogens through marijuana or hookah, exposure to radon, asbestos, diesel exhaust and ionising radiation. Exposing individuals to ionising radiation during lung cancer screening may increase the risk of lung cancer. These Italian authors used the data from the 40,000 participants in the COSMOS trial in Milan. Over 10 years the median cumulative radiation dose of screening was 9.3 mSv for men and 13.0 mSv for women on top of background radiation exposure of about 2.7 mSv per year. **Bottom line: for every 108 lung cancers detected through screening, one major cancer may be induced.**

**Reference:** *BMJ* 2017;356:j347

[Abstract](#)

## Incidence and relative risk for developing cancer among patients with COPD

**Authors:** Ho C-H et al.

**Summary:** Data from Taiwan's National Health Insurance Research Database were queried to identify the incidence of malignant diseases among 13,289 patients diagnosed with COPD and 26,578 matched controls. Cancer diagnoses were identified for 973 patients with COPD and 728 controls over average follow-up durations of 3.9 and 5.0 years, respectively. Compared with controls, patients with COPD had a higher cancer diagnosis rate (7.3% vs. 2.7%; adjusted HR 2.8 [95% CI 2.6, 3.1]). The most common cancers diagnosed among patients with COPD were lung, liver, colorectal, breast, prostate and stomach cancers.

**Comment:** This prospective observational study is based on the National Health Insurance Research Database in Taiwan. The researchers define two groups, about 13,000 patients with COPD and about 26,000 well-matched control subjects. Over the next decade or so, 4.2% of the participants were diagnosed with any type of cancer, 7.3% of patients with COPD and 2.7% of the control group. The most common types of cancer included lung, liver, colorectal, breast, prostate and stomach cancers. A major weakness of this study is that the authors don't report smoking rates. **Bottom line: the risk of developing lung cancer in patients with COPD is about three times higher than in patients without COPD.**

**Reference:** *BMJ Open* 2017;7(3):e013195

[Abstract](#)

**Upgrade your COPD patients to SPIOLTO<sup>®</sup> RESPIMAT<sup>®</sup>**  
tiotropium + olodaterol

PRESCRIPTION MEDICINE: SPIOLTO<sup>®</sup> RESPIMAT<sup>®</sup> (2.5 µg tiotropium/2.5 µg olodaterol) is indicated for the long term, once-daily maintenance treatment in patients with COPD (including chronic bronchitis and emphysema), to reduce airflow obstruction, to improve quality of life and to reduce associated dyspnoea. Before prescribing SPIOLTO RESPIMAT please review the data sheet for information on dosage, contraindications, precautions, interactions and adverse effects on the Medsafe website [www.medsafe.govt.nz](http://www.medsafe.govt.nz). Boehringer Ingelheim (N.Z.) Ltd., Auckland, Ph: 0800 802 461. 17 February 2016. TAPS NZ/SPO-161433 PP8937

**Boehringer Ingelheim**

[www.turnopenpress.co.nz](http://www.turnopenpress.co.nz)

BUILT ON THE FOUNDATION OF SPIRIVA<sup>®</sup> (tiotropium)

SPIOLTO<sup>®</sup> RESPIMAT<sup>®</sup> TIOTROPIMUM & OLODATEROL

For more information, please go to [www.medsafe.govt.nz](http://www.medsafe.govt.nz)

## Predictors of long-term compensatory response of pulmonary function following major lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer

**Authors:** Takahashi Y et al.

**Summary:** Long-term pulmonary function after major lobar resection for NSCLC was investigated in 137 patients. Spirometry data obtained 10–14 months postsurgery were used to calculate the ratios of actual/predicted postoperative FEV<sub>1</sub> and actual/predicted postoperative FVC, and their relationships with clinicopathological factors and immunohistochemistry for pro-SPC (prosurfactant protein C), TTF-1 (thyroid transcription factor-1) and VEGFR2 were investigated. A strong correlation was seen between the two ratios ( $r=0.628$  [ $p<0.001$ ]). Greater compensatory response was defined as both ratios being  $>120\%$ . Greater compensatory response was associated with both decreased smoking index ( $p<0.001$ ) and greater resected subsegments ( $p=0.037$ ). Case-matched comparisons revealed that never-smokers had significantly greater compensatory responses than smokers in the ratios of actual/predicted postoperative FEV<sub>1</sub> (119.9% vs. 107.5% [ $p=0.030$ ]) and actual/predicted postoperative FVC (117.9% vs. 107.2% [ $p=0.046$ ]). Normal lung parenchymal pro-SPC, TTF-1 and VEGFR2 expression was greater in patients with greater versus lesser compensatory responses ( $p<0.001$  for both). There were also significant correlations between pro-SPC, TTF-1 and VEGFR2 expression and the degree of compensatory response, particularly among smokers (respective  $r$  values 0.631, 0.705 and 0.732 [ $p<0.001$  for all]).

**Comment:** The principal driver in increasing survival in lung cancer is early detection. We use a formula to estimate the postoperative lung function remaining. This detailed study from Japan followed a cohort of 140 patients following lobar resection for about a year after surgery; roughly half had a diagnosis of COPD, the other half didn't. The initial estimate of postoperative lung function was reasonably accurate. Interestingly, patients with a high level of immunostaining of VEGFR2 in normal lung tissue had a better long-term recovery. **Bottom line: peak compensatory recovery occurred at about 9 months and was significantly better in never-smokers.**

**Reference:** *Respirology* 2017;22(2):364–71

[Abstract](#)

## Tracking the evolution of non-small-cell lung cancer

**Authors:** Jamal-Hanjani M et al., for the TRACERx Consortium

**Summary:** This paper reported findings from the UK-based prospective TRACERx (Tracking Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Evolution through Therapy) study, which was ongoing at the time of reporting, on intratumour heterogeneity in 327 tumour regions for 100 early-stage NSCLC tumours samples that had undergone multiregion whole-exome sequencing. Widespread intratumour heterogeneity was seen for both somatic copy-number alterations and mutations. Although driver *EGFR*, *MET*, *BRAF* and *TP53* mutations were almost always clonal,  $>75\%$  of the tumours contained heterogeneous driver alterations that occurred later in evolution, commonly in the *PIK3CA* and *NF1* genes and also those involved in chromatin modification and DNA damage response and repair. Genome doubling and ongoing dynamic chromosomal instability were both associated with intratumour heterogeneity, and resulted in parallel evolution of driver somatic copy-number alterations, including *CDK4*, *FOXA1* and *BCL11A* amplification. An association was identified between elevated copy-number heterogeneity and increased risk of recurrence or death (HR 4.9 [ $p=4.4\times 10^{-4}$ ]).

**Comment:** This is arguably my favourite paper in this selection of articles because the authors demonstrate that intratumour heterogeneity correlates directly with survival. This study has the potential to significantly alter our understanding of cancer, moving away from the paradigm of clonal homogeneity and understanding the impact of heterogeneous drivers to explore survival and target therapies to encapsulate the diversity. In this early report, the authors demonstrate that driver mutations start as clonal aberrations; however, heterogeneous driver alterations occurred in more than 75% of cancers. **Bottom line: the higher the intratumour heterogeneity, the higher the risk of recurrence or death.**

**Reference:** *N Engl J Med* 2017;376(22):2109–21

[Abstract](#)

## Osimertinib in untreated EGFR-mutated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer

**Authors:** Soria J-C et al., for the FLAURA Investigators

**Summary:** Patients with untreated *EGFR* mutation-positive advanced NSCLC were randomised 1:1 to receive osimertinib 80mg once daily or a standard *EGFR*-TKI (tyrosine kinase inhibitor) agent (gefitinib 250mg once daily or erlotinib 150mg once daily) in this phase 3 trial. Compared with the standard *EGFR*-TKIs, osimertinib was associated with: i) a longer PFS duration (primary endpoint; 18.9 vs. 10.2 months), decreasing the risk of disease progression or death (HR 0.46 [95% CI 0.37, 0.57]); ii) a longer median response duration (17.2 vs. 8.5 months); iii) a similar objective response rate (80% vs. 76%; OR 1.27 [95% CI 0.85, 1.90]); iv) a greater 18-month survival rate (83% vs. 71%), with a lower risk of death (HR 0.63 [95% CI 0.45, 0.88]); and v) a lower grade  $\geq 3$  adverse event rate (34% vs. 45%).

**Comment:** After impressive initial treatment responses with *EGFR*-TKIs, many tumour cells acquire resistance to therapy. Diagnosing the acquired mutations often requires a second biopsy; however, it is treatable with the third-line TKI, osimertinib. These researchers are reporting on a study using osimertinib as the first-line therapy in patients with *EGFR*-mutated NSCLC. These are early results not reporting any survival benefit; however, osimertinib has good central nervous system penetration, has a favourable side effect profile, makes T790M diagnosis irrelevant and, **bottom line: improved PFS from 10 to 19 months.**

**Reference:** *N Engl J Med* 2018;378(2):113–25

[Abstract](#)

New Zealand Research Review subscribers can claim CPD/CME points for time spent reading our reviews from a wide range of local medical and nursing colleges. Find out more on our [CPD page](#).



For access to our database of NZ specialists for rare disorders and the latest information and research from Orphanet, go to:  
[www.nzord.org.nz/health-professionals-resources](http://www.nzord.org.nz/health-professionals-resources)

KINDLY SUPPORTED BY



goodfellow symposium 2018  
March 23-25

Vodafone Events Centre, Auckland



### Edoxaban for the treatment of cancer-associated venous thromboembolism

**Authors:** Raskob GE et al., for the Hokusai VTE Cancer Investigators

**Summary:** Patients with cancer who had experienced an acute symptomatic or incidental VTE were randomised to receive 6–12 months of LMWH for  $\geq 5$  days followed by oral edoxaban 60mg once daily (evaluable n=522) or subcutaneous dalteparin 200 IU/kg once daily for 1 month followed by 150 IU/kg once daily (evaluable n=524). The composite primary outcome (recurrent VTE or major bleeding during the 12 months after randomisation) was seen in 12.8% of the edoxaban group and 13.5% of the dalteparin group (HR 0.97 [95% CI 0.70, 1.36]; p=0.006 for noninferiority); when these outcomes were considered separately, edoxaban recipients had a lower recurrent VTE rate (7.9% vs. 11.3%) but a higher major bleeding rate (6.9% vs. 4.0%).

**Comment:** VTE is an important complication reducing survival and QOL. Some therapies like immune checkpoint blockade may increase the risk of VTE. LMWHs are superior to warfarin in reducing VTEs. Here, an international consortium of researchers compared LMWH with a direct oral factor-Xa inhibitor, edoxaban, for the treatment of VTE. The bleeding rate was slightly higher (36 vs. 21 patients), which the authors relate to the higher number of patients with stomach cancer in the edoxaban group. **Bottom line: edoxaban was not inferior to LMWH in reducing the rate of recurrent VTE in cancer patients.**

**Reference:** *N Engl J Med*; Published online Dec 12, 2017

[Abstract](#)

### Randomized trial of pleural fluid drainage frequency in patients with malignant pleural effusions

**Authors:** Wahidi MM et al.

**Summary:** Patients with malignant pleural effusions were randomised to pleural fluid drainage every day (n=73) or every other day (standard; n=76) via a tunnelled pleural catheter in the ASAP trial. Compared with the standard drainage protocol, the more aggressive daily drainage was associated with a greater autopleurodesis rate following the placement of the indwelling pleural catheters (complete or partial response based on symptomatic and radiographic changes; primary outcome; 47% vs. 24% [p=0.003]) and a shorter median time to autopleurodesis (54 vs. 90 days), with no significant between-group difference for adverse event rate, QOL or patient satisfaction.

**Comment:** Richard Light is the senior author of this North American study utilising a tunnelled intrapleural catheter, not as a destination treatment, but as a tool to achieve pleurodesis. This so-called autopleurodesis has also become the focus of new catheter technology, like creating catheters coated with silver nitrate. The authors randomised about 150 patients with malignant pleural effusions to drainage every second day versus daily drainage. More patients had pleurodesis with daily drainage and required the catheter *in situ* for a shorter time period; adverse events, QOL and patient satisfaction were no different. **Bottom line: daily drainage of pleural fluid via a tunnel catheter leads to earlier freedom from the catheter.**

**Reference:** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017;195(8):1050–7

[Abstract](#)

### Nonmalignant pleural effusions

**Authors:** Walker SP et al.

**Summary:** Data were reported for a prospective cohort of 356 unselected patients presenting to a pleural service who were diagnosed with nonmalignant pleural effusion. These patients had a mean age of 68 years and 69% were male. Cases with cardiac, renal and hepatic failure were associated with 1-year mortality rates of 50%, 46% and 25%, respectively. Bilateral effusions (HR 3.55 [95% CI 2.22, 5.68]) and transudative effusions (2.78 [1.81, 4.28]) were associated with a worse prognosis, with 1-year mortality rates of 57% and 43%, respectively.

**Comment:** Most patients have nonmalignant pleural effusions caused by an infection, inflammatory process, surgery or pulmonary embolism, or by systemic factors such as heart, liver or renal failure. The Bristol group reviewed 782 cases presenting to their pleural service and 356 were judged to be nonmalignant pleural effusions. The most common causes included infections (40%) and for about a quarter no cause could be identified. Patients with malignant effusion had a mortality rate of 70% at 12 months; however, the mortality in patients with nonmalignant pleural effusions was 50% in heart, 46% in renal and 25% in liver failure. **Bottom line: nonmalignant pleural effusions are not benign and carry significant mortality risk.**

**Reference:** *Chest* 2017;151(5):1099–105

[Abstract](#)

**RACP MyCPD Program participants** can claim **one credit per hour** (maximum of 50 credits per year) for reading and evaluating Research Reviews. **FOR MORE INFORMATION [CLICK HERE](#)**



Time spent reading this publication has been approved for CME for Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners (RNZCGP) General Practice Educational Programme Stage 2 (GPEP2) and the Maintenance of Professional Standards (MOPS) purposes, provided that a Learning Reflection Form is completed. Please [CLICK HERE](#) to download your CPD MOPS Learning Reflection Form. One form per review read would be required.



Time spent reading this publication has been approved for CNE by The College of Nurses Aotearoa (NZ) for RNs and NPs. For more information on how to claim CNE hours please [CLICK HERE](#).

#### Independent commentary by Professor Lutz Beckert.



Professor Lutz Beckert is the Head of Department of Medicine of the University of Otago, Christchurch. He is also a Respiratory Physician at Canterbury District Health Board.

**FOR FULL BIO [CLICK HERE](#)**

**Privacy Policy:** Research Review will record your email details on a secure database and will not release them to anyone without your prior approval. Research Review and you have the right to inspect, update or delete your details at any time.

**Disclaimer:** This publication is not intended as a replacement for regular medical education but to assist in the process. The reviews are a summarised interpretation of the published study and reflect the opinion of the writer rather than those of the research group or scientific journal. It is suggested readers review the full trial data before forming a final conclusion on its merits.

**Research Review publications are intended for New Zealand health professionals.**

### Asthma & COPD Fundamentals eLearning



Get a head start on 2018 with our summer special!

**25% discount**

When purchased and completed between 1 November 2017 and 31 January 2018

Discounted price: **\$135**

Visit [cpd.whitireia.ac.nz](http://cpd.whitireia.ac.nz) to enrol

Asthma + Respiratory FOUNDATION NZ

Whitireia NEW ZEALAND